



King's Research Portal

DOI:

[10.1016/j.schres.2015.04.019](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.schres.2015.04.019)

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication record in King's Research Portal](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Falcone, M. A., Murray, R. M., O'Connor, J. A., Hockey, L. N., Gardner-Sood, P., Di Forti, M., Freeman, D., & Jolley, S. (2015). Jumping to conclusions and the persistence of delusional beliefs in first episode psychosis. *Schizophrenia Research*, 165(2-3), 243-246. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.schres.2015.04.019>

Citing this paper

Please note that where the full-text provided on King's Research Portal is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Post-Print version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version for pagination, volume/issue, and date of publication details. And where the final published version is provided on the Research Portal, if citing you are again advised to check the publisher's website for any subsequent corrections.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognize and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the Research Portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact librarypure@kcl.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

AUTHOR POST PRINT VERSION

The published version of this article can be found at:

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.schres.2015.04.019>

Jumping to conclusions and the persistence of delusional beliefs in first episode psychosis

M. Aurora Falcone^{a, b, *}, Robin M. Murray^b, Jennifer A. O'Connor^b, Leanne N. Hockey^b, Poonam Gardner-Sood^b, Marta Di Forti^b, Daniel Freeman^c, Suzanne Jolley^a

^aKing's College, London, Department of Psychology, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology & Neuroscience, London, UK;

^bKing's College, London, Department of Psychosis Studies, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology & Neuroscience, London, UK;

^cUniversity of Oxford, Department of Psychiatry, Warneford Hospital, Oxford, UK.

*To whom correspondence should be addressed; Department of Psychosis Studies, PO 52, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology & Neuroscience, De Crespigny Park, London SE5 8AF, UK; tel: +44 (0)207 848 0100, fax: +44 (0)207848 0287, e-mail:

aurora.falcone@kcl.ac.uk

Abstract: 100 word limit

Background: Cognitive biases may contribute to delusion persistence. We tested this in a longitudinal study of first episode psychosis (FEP). *Methods:* 34 FEP patients completed assessments of delusions and Jumping to Conclusions (JTC) at baseline and 12-month follow-up. *Results:* JTC was associated with baseline delusion severity ($t(32)=2.7$, $p=0.01$). Baseline delusions persisted at follow-up for 8/20 participants (40%), who all jumped to conclusions (8/8, 100%), compared to half of those with no or changeable delusions (14/26, 54%; χ^2 (df=1) = 5.7, $p=0.03$; Phi=0.4). *Conclusion:* Findings implicate cognitive biases in delusion persistence, and support the potential to reduce delusions through reasoning-focused interventions.

Key words: psychosis/delusions/reasoning/jumping to conclusions/neuropsychology

Text: 1486 words

1. Introduction

The Jumping to Conclusions (JTC) bias is a tendency to make decisions with certainty based on limited data-gathering. There is substantial support for its presence in patients with delusions (Fine, Gardner, Craigie, & Gold, 2007; Garety & Freeman, 2013; Garety, Freeman, Jolley, Waller, & Dunn, 2011; Jolley et al., 2014; So et al., 2012), and emerging evidence of associations with outcome and change in delusions, both as a potential marker for response to antipsychotic medication (Andreou, Moritz, Veith, Veckenstedt, & Naber, 2014; Menon, Mizrahi, & Kapur, 2008; So, Peters, Swendsen, Garety, & Kapur, 2014), and a manipulable target of psychological intervention (e.g. Garety et al., 2014; Lincoln et al., 2014; Moritz et al., 2013; Sanford, Lecomte, Leclerc, Wykes, & Woodward, 2013; Warman, Martin, & Lysaker, 2013).

To date, only one study has investigated JTC and delusion persistence in first episode psychosis (FEP; Dudley et al., 2013). Dudley and colleagues found persistent JTC and delusions to be associated at follow-up, but no baseline associations, providing only partial support for a maintaining role of JTC. Possible reasons for the failure to find baseline associations include subjective rating of delusions according to the dimension of distress and low baseline rates of JTC and delusion severity. Our own previous work showed that, when using objective assessments of delusions, in a FEP group with rates of delusions and JTC comparable to those found in established psychosis, the baseline association of the JTC bias with delusion severity was replicated (Falcone et al., 2014).

The current study is a longitudinal follow-up of the same participants at 12-months. Our aim was to investigate the association of the JTC bias with delusion persistence, as persistent delusions are the targets of psychological intervention. We hypothesised firstly that we would replicate the association of JTC with delusion severity at baseline (i.e. during a psychotic episode) found in our larger sample, and secondly that the persistence of delusions at a clinical level of severity would be associated with the tendency to JTC.

2. Methods

2.1 Participants

Thirty-four participants (31% of the baseline sample (n=108) reported by Falcone et al., 2014) completed measures of delusions and reasoning at both baseline and 12-month follow-up. All participants completing study measures at the two time points were included. Participants were assessed as part of the Genetics and Psychosis (GAP) study (Di Forti et al., 2012; O'Connor et al., 2012; Stilo et al., 2013; Wiffen et al., 2014) which was designed to identify genetic and environmental factors associated with psychosis. Ethical approval was granted by the joint Institute of Psychiatry and South London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust Research Ethics Committee; all participants gave informed written consent. GAP clinical inclusion criteria were: a current diagnosis of first episode psychosis (determined by clinical interview according to OPCRIT and DSM-IV criteria (Association, 1994; McGuffin, Farmer, & Harvey, 1991); within six months of first contact with services; current psychotic symptoms, experienced for at least seven days; age 18-65 years. Exclusion criteria were: a history of moderate or severe learning disabilities, or current IQ<70, as assessed by the Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale – Third Edition (Wechsler, 1997); insufficient command of English to complete assessments; a history of previous contact with mental health services for psychosis; a primary diagnosis of alcohol or substance dependency or a known organic cause of psychosis.

2.2 Measures

Demographic data were collected by self-report, supplemented by clinical records. The delusions item of the Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale (PANSS; Kay, Fiszbein, & Opler, 1987) provided ratings of mean delusion severity (from 1 (absent) to 7 (extremely severe)). Delusion presence (rating ≥ 3 (mild)) was dichotomised into persistent (present at baseline and 12-month follow-up) or not (absent/present only once).

Jumping to Conclusions (JTC): Two versions of the Probabilistic Reasoning 'Beads' Task (Garety et al., 2005) were employed, with beads in 85:15 and 60:40 ratios. Participants were shown two jars containing coloured beads in opposite ratios (e.g., mainly black: 85 black and

15 orange beads; mainly orange: 85 orange and 15 black beads), then a series of beads, one at a time, drawn from one of the two jars randomly selected by the computer. Participants were asked to request as many beads as they needed to be certain of the jar of origin. Deciding after fewer than three beads was classified as JTC. As we were concerned with the potential for the bias to influence day-to-day decision-making, rather than its consistency between tasks, or over time, we considered a single hasty decision on any task, at any time point to be evidence of the tendency to JTC, and rated this dichotomously (no JTC/JTC at least once; Garety et al., 2005; Jolley et al., 2014; So et al., 2012).

2.3 Analyses

Data were analysed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences Version 20.0 (IBM, 2011). Rates of JTC and delusions and delusion severity were compared at baseline and follow-up using McNemar tests and paired sample t-tests. For hypothesis one, severity of delusions between JTC groups at baseline was compared using independent sample t-tests. For hypothesis two, rates of JTC between those with and without persistent delusions were compared using Chi-square tests.

3. Results

Demographic and clinical characteristics are shown in Table 1. Participants were predominantly male (22/34, 65%) and of Black or Minority Ethnic (BME) background (23/34, 68%).

[Insert Table 1 about here]

3.1 Hypothesis 1: JTC will be associated with the severity of delusions at baseline

The findings replicated our previous report (Falcone et al., 2014), with more severe delusions in those showing the JTC bias (JTC mean: 3.4 (SD 1.4); no JTC mean: 2.2 (SD 1.2), $t=2.7$, $df=32$, $p=0.01$). Of the 20 participants with delusions at baseline, 55% (11/20) jumped to conclusions, compared to 29% of those without delusions (4/14).

3.2 Hypothesis 2: JTC will be associated with the persistence of delusions at follow-up

Overall rates of JTC remained consistent, with two thirds showing the bias at least once (22/34; 65%), though only seven individuals showed the bias consistently (Table 2). Rates of delusions reduced, with a non-significant reduction in delusion severity (Table 1).

Nearly half of those with delusions at baseline had persisting delusions at follow-up (8/20; 40%), and all those with persisting delusions jumped to conclusions at least once (8/8, 100%), compared to half of those with no (6/11) or changeable (8/15) delusions (14/26, 54%; χ^2 (df=1) = 5.7, $p=0.03$; $\Phi=0.4$; Table 2).

4. Discussion

We tested associations of the JTC reasoning bias with delusion persistence in FEP. The prevalence and severity of delusions and rates of JTC in followed-up participants matched our previous, larger study (Falcone et al., 2014), suggesting that this subsample comprised representative participants. At baseline, during participants' first psychotic episode, the well-established association of delusion severity with JTC was replicated. Over time, rates of JTC remained stable, but individual participants showed variation in their data-gathering, with only a third never jumping to conclusions. Delusions mostly improved over time, but persisted for around half of participants. All participants with persisting delusions jumped to conclusions at least once, compared to only half of those with no or changeable delusions. The association of JTC with delusion persistence was significant, with a medium to large effect size. Limitations of the study include the small number of participants followed up from baseline (31%); that we did not control for the effects of medication or any other treatments; and that data on age of onset were not collected for this study. The mean delusion scores presented in Table 2 are based on small numbers, and are reported to explicate the results, rather than to represent a reliable statistical average. We operationalised JTC as a dichotomous variable, using the criterion of fewer than three beads to indicate the presence of the bias. Analyses of the number of draws to decision, or employing an alternative dichotomy, were not carried out, and may have given different results. Finally, notwithstanding the longitudinal design of the study, we did not test whether the bias precedes the development of delusions, and a causal role for the bias in the onset of delusions cannot be inferred from these results.

Our findings do support a maintaining role for the JTC bias in delusions. They also support the growing evidence base showing the effectiveness of targeted interventions to modify JTC, such as the Maudsley Review Training Programme (Waller et al., 2011), Metacognitive Training (MCT; Moritz et al., 2014a; 2014b) and Social Cognition and Interaction Training (SCIT; e.g. Roberts et al., 2014), in reducing delusion persistence and improving outcomes for people with psychosis.

Acknowledgements

We thank the study participants for their time, and the GAP team. This work was supported by the NIHR Biomedical Research Centre for Mental Health at the South London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust and Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology & Neuroscience, King's College London; the Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology & Neuroscience at King's College London; and the Psychiatry Research Trust. DF is supported by a Medical Research Council Senior Clinical Fellowship.

Table 1: Demographic and clinical characteristics at baseline and follow-up

	Baseline (n=34)	Follow-up (n=34)	p
	Mean (SD)		
Age in years [Range]	27.9 (7.9) [18-50]	29.1 (7.7) [19-51]	
PANSS Delusion severity [Range]	2.7 (1.4) [1-6]	2.2 (1.6) [1-6]	t=1.8 (df=33), p=0.08
	n (%)		
JTC			
85:15	14 (41)	13 (38)	p=1.0 ¹
60:40	9 (26)	10 (29)	
Either	15 (44)	14 (41)	
Delusion presence	20 (59%)	13 (38%)	p=0.03 ¹
Diagnosis			
Schizophrenia	8 (23)		
Schizophreniform Disorder	5 (15)		
Psychotic Disorder NOS	6 (18)		
Schizoaffective Disorder	4 (12)		
Affective disorder with psychosis	11 (32)		

Key: PANSS, Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale; JTC, Jumping to Conclusions.

¹McNemar test; NOS: Not otherwise specified.

Table 2: Number of participants showing each pattern of change in Jumping to Conclusions (JTC) and delusions over time, with mean delusion¹ severity scores (SD) at baseline (BL) and follow-up (FU)

		Delusion-free at least once			Persistent Delusions	Total
		No delusions at BL or FU	Delusions at BL only	Delusions at FU only		
No JTC	BL	1.2 (0.4)	3.5 (0.5)	1.0 (-)	-	2.3 (1.3)
	FU	1.2 (0.4)	1.5 (0.5)	6.0 (-)	-	1.75 (1.4)
	n	5/11	6/12	1/3	0/8	12/34
JTC at least once	BL	1.3 (0.5)	3.5 (0.8)	2.0 (0)	4.0 (1.2)	3.0 (1.4)
	FU	1.0 (0)	1.0 (0)	3.5 (0.7)	4.3 (0.9)	2.4 (1.7)
	n	6/11	6/12	2/3	8/8	22/34
<i>- JTC at BL only</i>	BL	2.0 (-)	3.3 (0.6)	-	4.5 (1.3)	3.8 (1.3)
	FU	1.0 (-)	1.0 (0)	-	4.7 (1.0)	2.9 (2.1)
	n	1	3	0	4	8
<i>- JTC at FU only</i>	BL	1.3 (0.5)	3.0 (-)	-	3.0 (0)	2.0 (1.0)
	FU	1.0 (0)	1.0 (-)	-	4.0 (0)	1.9 (1.5)
	n	4	1	0	2	7
<i>- JTC at BL & FU</i>	BL	1.0 (-)	4.0 (1.4)	2.0 (0)	4.0 (1.4)	3.0 (1.5)
	FU	1.0 (-)	1.0 (0)	3.5 (0.7)	3.5 (0.7)	2.4 (1.4)
	n	1	2	2	2	7
Total	BL	1.3 (0.5)	3.5 (0.7)	1.7 (0.6)	4.0 (1.2)	2.7 (1.4)
	FU	1.1 (0.3)	1.3 (1.4)	4.3 (1.5)	4.3 (0.9)	2.2 (1.6)
	n	11	12	3	8	34

Key: JTC, Jumping to Conclusions; BL, baseline; FU, follow-up.

¹Measured by the PANSS (Kay et al., 1987).

References

- Andreou, C., Moritz, S., Veith, K., Veckenstedt, R., & Naber, D. (2014). Dopaminergic Modulation of Probabilistic Reasoning and Overconfidence in Errors: A Double-Blind Study. *Schizophrenia Bulletin*, 40(3), 558-565.
- American Psychiatric Association. (1994). *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders - Fourth Edition (DSM-IV)*. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association.
- Di Forti, M., Iyegbe, C., Sallis, H., Kolliakou, A., Falcone, M. A., Paparelli, A., . . . Murray, R. M. (2012). Confirmation that the AKT1 (rs2494732) genotype influences the risk of psychosis in cannabis users. *Biological Psychiatry*, 72(10), 811-816.
- Dudley, R., Daley, K., Nicholson, M., Shaftoe, D., Spencer, H., Cavanagh, K., & Freeston, M. (2013). 'Jumping to conclusions' in first-episode psychosis: A longitudinal study. *British Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 52(4), 380-393.
- Falcone, M. A., Murray, R. M., Wiffen, B. D. R., O'Connor J. A., Russo M., Kolliakou A.,... Jolley, S. (2014). Jumping to conclusions, neuropsychological functioning, and delusional beliefs in first episode psychosis. *Schizophrenia Bulletin*, Advance Access published July 22, 2014.
- Fine, C., Gardner, M., Craigie, J., & Gold, I. (2007). Hopping, skipping or jumping to conclusions? Clarifying the role of the JTC bias in delusions. *Cognitive neuropsychiatry*, 12(1), 46-77.
- Garety, P. A., & Freeman, D. (2013). The past and future of delusions research: From the inexplicable to the treatable. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, 203(5), 327-333.
- Garety, P. A., Freeman, D., Jolley, S., Dunn, G., Bebbington, P. E., Fowler, D. G., . . . Dudley, R. (2005). Reasoning, emotions, and delusional conviction in psychosis. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 114(3), 373-384.
- Garety, P. A., Freeman, D., Jolley, S., Waller, H., & Dunn, G. (2011). Jumping to conclusions: the psychology of delusional reasoning. *Advances in Psychiatric Treatment*, 17, 332-339.
- Garety, P., Waller, H., Emsley, R., Jolley, S., Kuipers, E., Bebbington, P. , . . . Freeman, D. (2014). Cognitive Mechanisms of Change in Delusions: An Experimental Investigation Targeting Reasoning to Effect Change in Paranoia. *Schizophrenia Bulletin*, Epub ahead of print.
- Jolley, S., Thompson, C., Hurley, J., Medin, E., Butler, L., Bebbington, P., . . . Garety, P. (2014). Jumping to the wrong conclusions? An investigation of the mechanisms of reasoning errors in delusions. *Psychiatry Research*, 219(2), 275-282.
- Kay, S. R., Fiszbein, A., & Opler, L. A. (1987). The positive and negative syndrome scale (PANSS) for schizophrenia. *Schizophrenia Bulletin*, 13(2), 261-276.
- Lincoln, T. M., Rief, W., Westermann, S., Ziegler, M., Kesting, M. L., Heibach, E., & Mehl, S. (2014). Who stays, who benefits? Predicting dropout and change in cognitive behaviour therapy for psychosis. *Psychiatry Research*, 216(2), 198-205.
- McGuffin, P., Farmer, A., & Harvey, I. (1991). A polydiagnostic application of operational criteria in studies of psychotic illness - development and reliability of the opcrit system. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 48(8), 764-770.
- Menon, M., Mizrahi, R., & Kapur, S. (2008). Jumping to conclusions and delusions in psychosis: Relationship and response to treatment. *Schizophrenia Research*, 98(1-3), 225-231.

- Moritz, S., Andreou, C., Schneider, B. C., Wittekind, C. E., Menon, M., Balzan, R. P., & Woodward, T. S. (2014). Sowing the seeds of doubt: A narrative review on metacognitive training in schizophrenia. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 34(4), 358-366.
- Moritz, S., Van Quaquebeke, N., & Lincoln, T. M. (2012). Jumping to conclusions is associated with paranoia but not general suspiciousness: a comparison of two versions of the probabilistic reasoning paradigm. *Schizophrenia research and treatment*, 2012, 384039-384039.
- Moritz, S., Veckenstedt, R., Andreou, C., Bohn, F., Hottenrott, B., Leighton, L., . . . Roesch-Ely, D. (2014). Sustained and " sleeper " effects of group metacognitive training for schizophrenia a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Psychiatry*, 71(10), 1103-1111.
- Moritz, S., Veckenstedt, R., Bohn, F., Hottenrott, B., Scheu, F., Randjbar, S., . . . Roesch-Ely, D. (2013). Complementary group Metacognitive Training (MCT) reduces delusional ideation in schizophrenia. *Schizophrenia Research*, 151(1-3), 61-69.
- O'Connor, J. A., Wiffen, B. D. R., Reichenberg, A., Aas, M., Falcone, M. A., Russo, M., . . . David, A. S. (2012). Is deterioration of IQ a feature of first episode psychosis and how can we measure it? *Schizophrenia Research*, 137(1-3), 104-109.
- Roberts, D. L., Combs, D. R., Willoughby, M., Mintz, J., Gibson, C., Rupp, B., & Penn, D. L. (2014). A randomized, controlled trial of Social Cognition and Interaction Training (SCIT) for outpatients with schizophrenia spectrum disorders. *British Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 53(3), 281-298.
- Sanford, N., Lecomte, T., Leclerc, C., Wykes, T., & Woodward, T. S. (2013). Change in jumping to conclusions linked to change in delusions in early psychosis. *Schizophrenia Research*, 147(1), 207-208.
- So, S. H-W., Freeman, D., Dunn, G., Kapur, S., Kuipers, E., Bebbington, P., . . . Garety, P. A. (2012). Jumping to conclusions, a lack of belief flexibility and delusional conviction in psychosis: A longitudinal investigation of the structure, frequency, and relatedness of reasoning biases. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 121(1), 129-139.
- So, S. H-W., Peters, E. R., Swendsen, J., Garety, P. A., & Kapur, S.. (2014). Changes in delusions in the early phase of antipsychotic treatment - An experience sampling study. *Psychiatry Research*, 215(3), 568-573.
- Stilo, S. A., Di Forti, M., Mondelli, V., Falcone, A. M., Russo, M., O'Connor, J., . . . Morgan, C. (2013). Social disadvantage: Cause or consequence of impending psychosis? *Schizophrenia Bulletin*, 39(6), 1288-1295.
- Waller, H., Freeman, D., Jolley, S., Dunn, G., & Garety, P. (2011). Targeting reasoning biases in delusions: A pilot study of the maudsley review training programme for individuals with persistent, high conviction delusions. *Journal of Behavior Therapy and Experimental Psychiatry*, 42(3), 414-421.
- Warman, D. M., Martin, J. M., & Lysaker, P. (2013). Jumping to conclusions and delusions: The impact of discussion of the bias on the bias. *Schizophrenia Research*, 150(2-3), 575-579.
- Wechsler, D. (1997). *Wechsler Memory Scale - Third Edition: Administration and Scoring Manual*. San Antonio, TX: The Psychological Corporation.
- Wiffen, B. D. R., O'Connor, J. A., Russo, M., Falcone, M. A., Joseph, C., Kolliakou, A., . . . David, A. S. (2014). Do psychosis patients with poor insight show implicit awareness on the emotional Stroop task? *Psychopathology*, 47(2), 93-100.